

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. MICHAEL EPSTEIN 09/211,155 12/14/1998 PHA-23-548 7465 7590 04/22/2002 **ALGY TAMOSHUNAS** EXAMINER US PHILIPS CORPORATION BARRON JR, GILBERTO 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2132 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/211,155	EPSTEIN, MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gilberto Barrón Jr.	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply see later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) They present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • —	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.		
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-11 and 13-20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	6	Pento Ben
		Gilberto Barrón Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2132

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

/ Application No. 009/211,155



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment of "enabling" to each step of claim 18 is a new issue; the subject matter of Claim 19 was not fully incorporated into claim 18, thus a new issue is raised and the proposed amendment lacks antecedent basis for :"the response parameter". Further, other independent claims 1 and 9 were not proposed to be amending so the issues for appeal are not reduced..

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's argument are not persuasive as Applicant argues the references of Tomko and Chaum separately...